

Quantum Version of Shannon's Noisy Coding Theorem

N. Datta

Statistical Laboratory

Centre for Mathematical Sciences

University of Cambridge

Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB

Email: n.datta@statslab.cam.ac.uk

T. C. Dorlas

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies

School of Theoretical Physics

10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, Ireland.

Email: dorlas@stp.dias.ie

February 17, 2006

Abstract

We prove an analogue of Feinstein's lemma for a memoryless quantum channel and use it to prove a quantum version of Shannon's noisy coding theorem.

1 A quantum channel with classical memory

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be given finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of linear operators on \mathcal{H} . We also consider the tensor product algebras $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ and the infinite tensor product C*-algebra obtained as the strong closure

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n}, \quad (1.1)$$

where we include \mathcal{A}_n into \mathcal{A}_{n+1} in the obvious way. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}^{\otimes n})$ and \mathcal{B}_∞ . We denote the states on \mathcal{A}_∞ by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$, etc.

Let there be given a Markov chain on a finite state space I given by transition probabilities $q_{i'|i}$ and let $(q_i)_{i \in I}$ be an equilibrium distribution for this chain, i.e.

$$q_{i'} = \sum_{i \in I} q_i q_{i'|i}. \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, let $V_i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be given isometries for each $i \in I$. Then we define a quantum channel by the completely positive trace-preserving (CPT) map $\Phi_\infty : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\infty(\phi)(A) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in I} q_{i_1} q_{i_2|i_1} \cdots q_{i_n|i_{n-1}} \\ &\quad \times \phi_n \left((V_{i_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_n}^*) A (V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_n}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$. Here, ϕ_n is the restriction of ϕ to \mathcal{A}_n . It is easily seen that this defines a CPT map on the states, and moreover, that it is translation-invariant (stationary).

We now define the **product state capacity** of this channel. Suppose that $\{p_j, \rho_j\}_{j=1}^M$ is a sequence of states ρ_j on \mathcal{H} with probabilities p_j , $\sum_{j=1}^M p_j = 1$. For a multi-index $\underline{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ we denote $p_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} = p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_n}$ and $\rho_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} = \rho_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{j_n}$. Then

$$\bar{\sigma}_n = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^M p_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \Phi_n(\rho_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) \quad (1.4)$$

is a projective system of states on \mathcal{B}_∞ defining a translation-invariant state $\bar{\sigma}_\infty$ on \mathcal{B}_∞ , and the mean entropy

$$S_M(\bar{\sigma}_\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} S(\bar{\sigma}_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} S(\bar{\sigma}_n) \quad (1.5)$$

exists.

2 Quantum Version of Feinstein's Lemma

Theorem 2.1 *Let a quantum channel be given by a completely positive map $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, and define the channel (product state) capacity by*

$$\chi(\Phi) = \sup_{\{p_j\}_{j=1}^J, \{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^J} \left\{ S \left(\sum_{j=1}^J p_j \Phi(\rho_j) \right) - \sum_{j=1}^J p_j S(\Phi(\rho_j)) \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite sets of states $\rho_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and probability distributions $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^J$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ there exists $N \geq 2^{n(\chi(\Phi) - \epsilon)}$ and there exist states $\tilde{\rho}_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tilde{\rho}_N^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and positive operators $E_1, \dots, E_N \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^N E_k \leq \mathbf{1}$ and

$$\text{Trace} \left[\Phi^{\otimes n} \left(\tilde{\rho}_k^{(n)} \right) E_k \right] > 1 - \epsilon. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Let the supremum in (2.6) be attained for a collection $\{p_j, \rho_j\}_{j=1}^J$. Denote $\sigma_j = \Phi(\rho_j)$, $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^J p_j \Phi(\rho_j)$, $\sigma_n = \bar{\sigma}^{\otimes n}$, and $\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} = \Phi^{\otimes n}(\tilde{\rho}_k^{(n)})$.

Choose $\delta > 0$. We will relate δ to ϵ at a later stage. There exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_1$, there is a typical subspace $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{\delta, \epsilon}$ with projection P_n such that if $\bar{\sigma}_n$ has a spectral decomposition

$$\bar{\sigma}_n = \sum_{\underline{k}} \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{k}}^{(n)} |\psi_{\underline{k}}^{(n)}\rangle \langle \psi_{\underline{k}}^{(n)}| \quad (2.8)$$

then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \log \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{k}}^{(n)} + S(\bar{\sigma}) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad (2.9)$$

for all \underline{k} such that $|\psi_{\underline{k}}^{(n)}\rangle \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{\delta, \epsilon}$ and

$$\text{Trace}(P_n \bar{\sigma}_n) > 1 - \delta^2. \quad (2.10)$$

Define

$$\bar{S} = \sum_{j=1}^J p_j S(\sigma_j). \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.1 *Given a sequence $\underline{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ let $P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}$ be the projection onto the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors of $\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} = \sigma_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_{j_n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{\underline{j}, \underline{k}}^{(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{j_i, k_i}$ such that*

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \log \lambda_{\underline{j}, \underline{k}}^{(n)} + \bar{S} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \quad (2.12)$$

Let $\delta > 0$. There exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right) \right) > 1 - \delta^2. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. Define i.i.d. random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with distribution given by

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i = \lambda_{j,k}) = p_j \lambda_{j,k}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $\lambda_{j,k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, d'$ are the eigenvalues of σ_j . By the weak law of large numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i &\rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\log X_i) = \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{d'} p_j \lambda_{j,k} \log \lambda_{j,k} \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^J p_j S(\sigma_j) = -\bar{S}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

It follows that there exists n_2 such that for $n \geq n_2$, the typical set $T_{\delta, \epsilon}^{(n)}$ of sequences of pairs $((j_1, k_1), \dots, (j_n, k_n))$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \lambda_{j_i, k_i} + \bar{S} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad (2.16)$$

satisfies

$$\mathbb{P} \left(T_{\delta, \epsilon}^{(n)} \right) = \sum_{((j_1, k_1), \dots, (j_n, k_n)) \in T_{\delta, \epsilon}^{(n)}} \prod_{i=1}^n p_{j_i} \lambda_{j_i, k_i} > 1 - \delta^2. \quad (2.17)$$

Obviously,

$$P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \geq \sum_{\underline{k}: (\underline{j}, \underline{k}) \in T_{\delta, \epsilon}^{(n)}} |\psi_{\underline{j}, \underline{k}}^{(n)}\rangle \langle \psi_{\underline{j}, \underline{k}}^{(n)}| \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right) \right) \geq \mathbb{P} \left(T_{\delta, \epsilon}^{(n)} \right) > 1 - \delta^2. \quad (2.19)$$

□

Continuing the proof of the theorem, let $N = N(n)$ be the maximal number for which there exist states $\tilde{\rho}_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tilde{\rho}_N^{(n)}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and positive operators E_1, \dots, E_N on \mathcal{K} such that

- (i) $\sum_{k=1}^N E_k \leq P_n$ and
- (ii) $\text{Trace}[\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} E_k] > 1 - \epsilon$ and
- (iii) $\text{Trace}[\bar{\sigma}_n E_k] \leq 2^{-n[S(\bar{\sigma}) - \bar{S} - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon]}$.

For any given \underline{j} define

$$V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} = \left(P_n - \sum_{k=1}^N E_k \right)^{1/2} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \left(P_n - \sum_{k=1}^N E_k \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.20)$$

Clearly, $V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \leq P_n - \sum_{k=1}^N E_k$, and we also have:

Lemma 2.2 *Define*

$$W_n = \{ \underline{j} \mid \text{Trace}(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) > 1 - \delta \}. \quad (2.21)$$

Then, for all $\underline{j} \in W_n$,

$$\text{Trace}(\bar{\sigma}_n V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) \leq 2^{-n[S(\bar{\sigma}) - \bar{S} - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon]}. \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. Put $Q_n = \sum_{k=1}^{N(n)} E_k$. Note that Q_n commutes with P_n . Using the fact that $P_n \bar{\sigma}_n P_n \leq 2^{-n[S(\bar{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon]}$ by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Trace}(\bar{\sigma}_n V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) &= \text{Trace} \left[\bar{\sigma}_n (P_n - Q_n)^{1/2} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n (P_n - Q_n)^{1/2} \right] \\
&= \text{Trace} \left[P_n \bar{\sigma}_n P_n (P_n - Q_n)^{1/2} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (P_n - Q_n)^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq 2^{-n[S(\bar{\sigma}_n) - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon]} \text{Trace} \left[(P_n - Q_n)^{1/2} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (P_n - Q_n)^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq 2^{-n[S(\bar{\sigma}_n) - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon]} \text{Trace}(P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) \leq 2^{-n[S(\bar{\sigma}_n) - \bar{S} - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon]}, \quad (2.23)
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we used the standard upper bound on the dimension of the typical subspace: $\text{Trace}(P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) \leq 2^{n[\bar{S} + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon]}$, which follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

Since $N(n)$ is maximal it follows that for $\underline{j} \in W_n$,

$$\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right) \leq 1 - 2\epsilon. \quad (2.24)$$

Lemma 2.3 *For all $\eta > 0$, there exists $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_3$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right] \right) > 1 - \eta. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right] \right) = \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \right) \\
&\quad - \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \right] \right). \quad (2.26)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the first term is $> 1 - \delta^2$ provided $n \geq n_2$. The last two

terms can be bounded using Cauchy-Schwarz as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \right) = \\
& = \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right) \\
& \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[(\mathbf{1} - P_n) \sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& = (\text{Trace} [\bar{\sigma}_n (\mathbf{1} - P_n)])^{1/2} \leq \delta
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

by (2.10) provided $n \geq n_1$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \right] \right) = \\
& = \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right) \\
& \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_n) \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \leq \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Choosing $n_3 = n_1 \vee n_2$ and $\delta^2 + 2\delta < \eta$ the result follows. \square

We now show that the set W_n has high probability:

Lemma 2.4 $\mu(W_n) > 1 - \delta$.

Proof. If $\underline{j} \notin W_n$ then $\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right) \leq 1 - \delta$. Hence

$$\sum_{\underline{j} \notin W_n} p_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) \right) \geq \delta \mu(W_n^c). \tag{2.29}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\underline{j} \notin W_n} p_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{1} - P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)}) \right) \right) < \delta^2. \quad (2.30)$$

It follows that $\mu(W_n^c) < \frac{\delta^2}{\delta} = \delta$. \square

Corollary 2.1 *Assume $\delta < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \right) < 1 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \quad (2.31)$$

Proof. Using (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \right) &= \\ &= \sum_{\underline{j} \in W_n} p_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] + \sum_{\underline{j} \in W_n^c} p_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} V_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \right] \\ &\leq 1 - \epsilon + \mu(W_n^c) < 1 - \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

provided $\delta < \epsilon$. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Assume $\eta < \frac{1}{6}\epsilon$. Then for $n \geq n_3$,*

$$\text{Trace} \left[\bar{\sigma}_n \sum_{k=1}^N E_k \right] = \mathbb{E} \left(\text{Trace} \left[\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} \sum_{k=1}^N E_k \right] \right) \geq \eta^2. \quad (2.33)$$

Proof. Define

$$Q'_n = P_n - (P_n - Q_n)^{1/2}. \quad (2.34)$$

By the above corollary,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon &\geq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (P_n - Q'_n) P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} (P_n - Q'_n) \right) \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right) \right\} \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right) + \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Since the last term is positive, we have, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right) + \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n \right) \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon - \eta > 2\eta. \quad (2.36)$$

On the other hand, using Cauchy-Schwarz for each term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right) + \text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n \right) \right\} \leq \\ & \leq 2 \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Trace} \left(Q'_n \sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q'_n \right) \right] \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n P_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} P_n \right) \right] \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq 2 \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q_n^2 \right) \right] \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Trace} \left(\sigma_{\underline{j}}^{(n)} Q_n^2 \right) \right] \geq \eta^2. \quad (2.38)$$

To complete the proof, we now claim that

$$Q_n \geq (Q'_n)^2. \quad (2.39)$$

Indeed, this follows on the domain of P_n from the inequality $1 - (1 - x)^2 \geq x^2$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. \square

To complete the proof of the theorem, we now have by assumption,

$$\text{Trace} [\bar{\sigma}_n E_k] \leq 2^{-n[S(\bar{\sigma}) - \bar{S} - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon]} \quad (2.40)$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, N(n)$. On the other hand, choosing $\eta < \frac{1}{6}\epsilon$ and $\delta < \frac{1}{3}\eta$, we have by Lemma 2.5,

$$\text{Trace} \left[\bar{\sigma}_n \sum_{k=1}^N E_k \right] \geq \eta^2 \quad (2.41)$$

provided $n \geq n_3$. It follows that

$$N(n) \geq \eta^2 2^{n[S(\bar{\sigma}) - \bar{S} - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon]} \geq 2^{n[S(\bar{\sigma}) - \bar{S} - \epsilon]} \quad (2.42)$$

for $n \geq n_3$ and $n \geq -\frac{6}{\epsilon} \log \eta$. \square